	I	1
1	IN THE UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
2		RN DISTRICT OF TEXAS ON DIVISION
3		
4	RICHARD WINFREY, JR., Plaintiff,))
5	v.) NO. 10-CV-1896) July 29, 2010
6	SAN JACINTO COUNTY, ET AL,))
7	Defendants.)
8		
9		CONFERENCE RABLE LYNN N. HUGHES
10		
11		
12		
13		Ms. Rachel Steinback Loevy & Loevy
14	3	312 North May Street, Suite 100 Chicago, IL 60607
15		Mr. James A. Price, Sr.
16	<u> </u>	Olson Olson, LLP 2727 Allen Parkway, Suite 600
17		Houston, TX 77019
18		Ms. Shanna E. Molinare Office of the Attorney General
19		P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711
20	For Defendants Fort Bend N	Mr. Randall W. Morse
21	_ :	Fort Bend County Attorney 801 Jackson, Suite 728
22	F	Richmond, TX 77469
23	Court Reporter:	Bruce Slavin, RPR, CM
24		anical stenography and produced
25	by computer-aided transcript	ion.
	•	

```
1
                   THE COURT: Have we done anything on this one?
      2
                   MS. STEINBACK: We have not. This is our first
      3
          pretrial conference, Judge, and so --
      4
                   THE COURT: So, Pi -- What is it?
      5
00:00
                   MS. STEINBACK: Pikett.
      6
                   THE COURT: Pikett. The same Pikett.
      7
                   MS. STEINBACK: The same Pikett. Exactly.
      8
                   THE COURT:
                               Everybody else different.
      9
                   MS. STEINBACK: Everybody else different.
00:00
     10
                   THE COURT: All right. Who was Sheriff when -- I
     11
          don't know how to -- Do these two sheriffs overlap the whole
     12
          time period?
     13
                   MS. STEINBACK: Your Honor, I'm not entirely sure.
     14
                   MR. PRICE: I can answer that, Judge. At the time
     15
          of the arrest Lacy Rogers with the San Jacinto County
00:00
     16
          Sheriff -- he left office at the end of 2008 and at that
     17
          time the arrest had already been done. The investigation
     18
          had pretty much been completed. So, James Walters came into
     19
          office on July 1st of 2009, and he really had nothing to do
00:01
     20
          with all of the investigative work and the arrest had taken
     21
          place before he took office.
     22
                    THE COURT: Is Lenard Johnson still with the
     23
          sheriff's department?
     24
                   MR. PRICE: He is not, Your Honor.
     25
                   THE COURT: And do you know where he is?
00:02
```

MR. PRICE: Yes. And I represent him. 1 He's with 2 another law enforcement agency now. 3 THE COURT: What do you think Johnson did? 4 MS. STEINBACK: Well, Your Honor, just briefly to bring you up to speed on what the allegations are in the 00:03 5 6 complaint: 7 There was a man named "Mr. Murray" found dead 8 in August of 2004. He was a janitor at an area pool. 9 an investigation was conducted by the San Jacinto County 00:03 10 Sheriff's Office and the Texas Rangers who have been named 11 in this complaint. 12 Within approximately a week or so our client, 13 the Plaintiff, Richard Winfrey, Jr., was identified as a 14 person of interest, and despite the fact that there was no 00:03 15 physical evidence tying him to the crime, somehow it was 16 determined that he was one of the prime suspects. 17 And, so, Keith Pikett -- Sheriff Keith Pikett 18 was called in to conduct a dog scent lineup. The dog scent 19 lineup was conducted and surprisingly identified Richard 00:04 20 Winfrey, Jr., as having been one of the perpetrators. A 21 subsequent dog tracking or trailing was conducted where the 22 dogs were scented with a suspect's scent and then they 23 wandered around the community until they arrived at the 24 suspect's house. 00:04 25 During that dog scent trailing they again

```
1
          arrived at Richard Winfrey, Jr.'s home but, it was later
      2
           discovered, with someone else's scent; it was not Richard
      3
           Winfrey, Jr., or anyone who lived in his home. So, that was
      4
           considered an accident but, nonetheless, used during the
00:04
      5
          arrest of the investigation.
      6
                         We also allege that there was a jailhouse
      7
           snitch who was coerced into identifying Richard Winfrey,
      8
           Jr., as one of the perpetrators of the crime. And two and a
      9
          half years after the crime was committed Richard Winfrey,
00:04
     10
           Jr., was arrested.
     11
                    THE COURT: Well, tell me about the snitch. You
          haven't sued him.
     12
     13
                   MS. STEINBACK: We have not sued him because he
     14
           claimed he was coerced by the investigators. He later
     15
          recanted --
00:05
     16
                    THE COURT: So, if you're coerced you falsely
     17
           accuse somebody? That's not the way I understand it works.
     18
           You get coerced.
     19
                   MS. STEINBACK: Certainly.
00:07
     20
                                   (Brief recess)
     21
                              Okay. When did the snitch come clean?
                   THE COURT:
     22
                   MS. STEINBACK: I don't know, Your Honor. I'd have
     23
           to check on that, but the snitch recanted his testimony.
     24
          And I don't know. I would have to look. I'm not for sure.
     25
80:00
          It was prior to the culmination of the investigation,
```

```
because then there was another jailhouse snitch who came
      1
      2
          forward, but I will have to check on the date for you.
      3
                    THE COURT: Were there other suspects? I mean,
      4
          obviously, there was Winfrey and at least another person
          because there was the second scent.
80:00
      5
      6
                   MR. PRICE: Judge, there's Richard Winfrey, Sr.,
      7
          Richard Winfrey, Jr., and Megan Winfrey. Senior is the
      8
          father of Junior and Megan. Senior and Megan were both
      9
          convicted of capital murder. And I know that Senior's case
00:09
     10
          was appealed and upheld by the court of appeals. Richard,
     11
          Jr., the Plaintiff in this case, was determined through the
     12
          investigation to be a co-conspirator and actually imbedded
     13
          in the murder itself. The evidence was placed before a
     14
          grand jury and the grand jury indicted him. He was tried.
     15
                         The allegation about a snitch -- and I know we
00:09
     16
          don't want to argue the facts here --
     17
                   MS. STEINBACK: Let me just say he had a five-day
     18
          jury trial and was acquitted in 13 minutes of the charges.
     19
          So, just for sake of --
00:09
     20
                    THE COURT: But that's a good thing, isn't it?
     21
                   MS. STEINBACK: Yeah. Which is a great thing.
     22
          Absolutely.
     23
                    THE COURT: They'll rescind that if you want.
     24
                   MS. STEINBACK: No. No. I just wanted to make
     25
          clear that the two other co-conspirators -- alleged
00:10
```

1 co-conspirators were convicted. 2 THE COURT: Well, this is a stupid question because 3 it's a murder, but what's the reason for the murder? 4 MR. PRICE: There was a witness who overheard Megan Winfrey talking to this gentleman. She was a minor at the 00:10 5 6 time. He was a janitor at the high school, Mr. Burr. He 7 was a -- I don't know what the politically correct -- he was 8 a slow intellectual person. But he was working as a janitor 9 there and a witness overheard her -- my understanding --00:10 10 that overheard her say, "Go up to him and put an arm around 11 him and say, 'When are you going to take me out and spend some of that money you got hidden at your house?'" And the 12 13 determination was that they went there for the purpose of 14 committing a robbery and, apparently, there was some 15 evidence that --00:11 16 THE COURT: Okay. Just, ordinarily, janitors -- I 17 mean, they get caught in the -- You know, the apparent theme 18 of the prosecution was that they thought he had money from 19 somewhere. 00:11 20 MR. PRICE: Let me point out one other problem, 21 Judge, that I have -- and this is raised in our pleadings --22 is that after Junior -- or they call him "Little Richard" --23 was acquitted he moved for an expunction of his record and 24 the expunction was ordered. 25 My clients don't have any records anymore. 00:11

They were redacted or destroyed. And, so, there, was a --1 2 my understanding is there is a consolidated police report 3 that developed in the investigation of the murder. 4 record contains information pertaining to the investigation of Senior, Megan and Junior and that those portions of that 00:12 5 6 record that pertain to Junior have been expunged. And we're 7 a little bit concerned about the offensive use of the 8 expunction in this context since Mr. --9 THE COURT: She hasn't done anything offensive in 00:12 10 cases I have had her in. 11 MR. PRICE: I am talking about the criminal lawyer, not this lady sitting here, Judge, who has had the record 12 13 expunged. 14 MS. STEINBACK: And we actually discussed this a 15 few days ago when counsel met via teleconference to discuss 00:12 16 this. 17 You know, just as an initial matter, this was 18 an investigation into several suspects. It was a 19 consolidated investigation. So, you know, while I don't 00:13 20 know what records Mr. Price is talking about, because there 21 were other co-conspirators in this, I have to believe that 22 there is some records that were not destroyed regarding the 23 investigation into this case. 24 MR. PRICE: No. What I told you was that to the 25 extent that there are documents --00:13

THE COURT: An arrest expunction doesn't eliminate 1 2 the investigation file and all that stuff. 3 MR. PRICE: Judge, we attached a copy of the order 4 of expunction to our answer and -- what I can tell you, Judge, is that -- I can tell you what the San Jacinto County 00:13 5 6 Sheriff's Department did. They received the order and in 7 their attempt to comply with it what they did was if they 8 found a document that pertains solely to Little Richard it 9 was destroyed. If they found a record, a document, that had 00:14 10 information that contains just his name but it also 11 pertained to Big Richard or Megan they went through and they 12 took a marks-a-lot and blacked out information pertaining to 13 Little Richard. That's what they did to try to comply with 14 the rule. 15 MS. STEINBACK: Judge, just on that -- because, 00:14 16 actually, I appreciate your providing this expunction 17 order -- that wasn't what the order said to do, and it's now 18 actually an area of inquiry that we would like to 19 investigate further during discovery because -- and, as we 00:14 20 clarified with the clerk's office, this order didn't direct 21 the destruction of documents relating to the investigation 22 of this case. 23 First of all, as I already said, it was an 24 investigation into several suspects, co-conspirators, but, 25 in addition, just looking closely at Page 10 of 13, it 00:14

orders the Texas Department of Public Safety to notify any 1 2 central federal depository of the order and then tell that 3 central federal depository or any private entities who 4 received information from it to either return information to the court or destroy that information. However, for the 00:15 5 6 remaining agencies, including the state depositories and the 7 other agencies listed here, it says that to the extent that 8 they're going to obliterate it -- and that's only removing 9 those documents that's impracticable -- they should 00:15 10 obliterate all portions of the record or file that 11 identify -- and all in caps -- Richard Lynn Winfrey, Jr., 12 and notify the court of any such action. 13 So, you know, as a kind of common sense 14 thinking of an expunction goes, the purpose of an expunction 15 is not to obliterate from the face of the earth any record 00:15 16 relating to an investigation. It's for the sake of allowing 17 the person -- in this case Richard Winfrey, Jr. -- to be 18 able to apply for a job without having this murder 19 conviction on his record. 00:16 20 THE COURT: When I was a state judge I had to hold 21 the State Board of Pardons and Paroles in contempt because 22 they had three files and they were responding to the 23 expunction orders by eliminating it from the record of 24 arrests in one file and then using the other two when they 25 wanted to check things. And I told them to stop it and they 00:16

```
Despite my legendary equanimity, I was irritated.
      1
      2
                   MR. PRICE: Well, I am trying to be candid with the
          Court as to what happened.
      3
      4
                    THE COURT: Well, what we need, first, is what San
          Jacinto County has. Period. And that would include
00:16
      5
      6
          correspondence in response to this order to other agencies
      7
          or whatever it did, but, ordinarily, you just address the
      8
          DPS and the NCIC databases and, bing, it goes off.
      9
                    MR. PRICE: Can I ask a point of clarification,
00:17
     10
          Judge, and just for some further background? The criminal
          district attorney who prosecuted the case on behalf of the
     11
     12
          state was Mr. Bill Burnet, who passed away on June 1st. His
     13
          office is a -- I haven't fully researched this, Judge, but I
     14
          think that the criminal district attorney is a different
     15
          jural entity than San Jacinto County.
00:17
     16
                    THE COURT: The DA has -- they're state officers,
     17
          just like the judge.
     18
                   MR. PRICE: And, so, what I understand from talking
     19
          to --
00:17
     20
                    THE COURT: The county is obliged to supply
     21
          suitable rooms.
     22
                   MR. PRICE: So, what happened was that the
     23
          documents that San Jacinto County Sheriff's Office had, they
     24
          were turned over to the district attorney as a part of the
          trial of the case. The only thing that I am aware of -- and
     25
00:18
```

I have tried to be very diligent --1 2 THE COURT: Who is the DA now? 3 MR. PRICE: He is a first assistant, Jonathan Petix 4 who is acting as criminal district attorney until the office is filled. My understanding is that Mr. Petix, because the 00:18 5 6 district attorney's office was also included in the order, 7 did a similar thing, that they -- Well, I don't want to 8 speak for Mr. Petix. I know that they either destroyed the 9 documents that dealt solely with Junior and redacted 00:19 10 documents that had his name in it that were otherwise -- and 11 whatever they maintained they returned to the district clerk 12 pursuant to the order and that all -- that the trial record, 13 the district attorney's file and all the trial evidence is 14 under seal at the district clerk's office under order of 15 Judge Trapp. 00:19 16 THE COURT: Good. But what there is that is in 17 that file that pertains to Little Richard I have no idea. 18 It's not available to me. 19 Were they tried separately? 00:19 20 MR. PRICE: Yes. There were three separate trials. 21 Three separate trials. MS. STEINBACK: 22 THE COURT: So, his trial record should be mostly 23 about him. Or she'd argue it wasn't about anybody. 24 MR. MORSE: Judge, I think we should also point out 25 the other two defendants who were convicted and there was --00:20

	1	I think the court of appeals affirmed but the court of
	2	criminal appeals has granted a petition for discretionary
	3	review on Senior's case is my understanding; so, they have
	4	taken that case and it's before them now.
00:20	5	MR. PRICE: I believe that's right, Judge. I don't
	6	know about Megan. There is a published opinion on the
	7	appeal of Senior's trial.
	8	THE COURT: Okay. But we just have to go ahead.
	9	They're obviously not going to do anything about Junior's
00:20	10	case. It's over. So, what we need
	11	Do you need anything out of the trial record?
	12	MS. STEINBACK: We'd love everything we can get out
	13	of the trial record. And, Judge, we do have some documents
	14	that were provided to us by Junior's criminal defense
00:21	15	attorney and, you know, we would, of course
	16	THE COURT: Who is that?
	17	MS. STEINBACK: Her name is Shirley Baccus Lobel.
	18	So, you know, to the extent that the trial record is
	19	deficient in any way or San Jacinto County records are
00:21	20	deficient in any way, you know, we can pool it all together
	21	so that we have as complete a record as possible to conduct
	22	discovery.
	23	THE COURT: But the trial record is not the problem
	24	here. The problem here is what happened in the
00:21	25	preparation

```
1
                   MS. STEINBACK: Correct.
      2
                   THE COURT: -- if it is a problem. So, what do you
      3
          think the Rangers did that might have any --
      4
                   MS. STEINBACK: Well, it's my understanding, Judge,
          that the Rangers were in charge of the investigation. And,
00:22
      5
      6
          again, this is information -- I can't tell you exactly
      7
          what --
      8
                   THE COURT: If they were there and they acted
      9
          like --
00:22
     10
                   MS. STEINBACK: -- exactly, what each specific
     11
          defendant did.
     12
                    THE COURT: They're very good and they don't suffer
     13
          fools gladly.
     14
                   MS. STEINBACK: But all of these claims, as you
     15
          will see in our complaint -- each claim is pled against each
00:22
     16
          defendant acting individually and jointly in the conspiracy
     17
          and we do have a conspiracy claim.
     18
                    THE COURT: I know, but the conspiracy claim has to
     19
          be to do something, and a conspiracy to be stupid is not
00:22
     20
          actionable.
     21
                   MS. STEINBACK: Correct. We're not alleging
     22
          negligence here.
     23
                    THE COURT: Well, but you have to willfully,
     24
          knowingly and intentionally join a conspiracy to do
     25
          whatever -- I mean, the problem is, so far what I have
00:23
```

```
heard, is we're back to Pikett and his unreliable theory of
      1
      2
           dogs and underwear.
                    MS. STEINBACK: Well, it's not just Pikett, though
      3
      4
           Pikett is certainly a component of it. We are alleging in
           this case, as in the other case, that it was well known that
00:23
      5
      6
           these dog scent lineups were a fraud and that they were used
      7
           knowingly for the purpose of implicating a suspect. In this
      8
           case they had identified a suspect, Richard Winfrey, Jr.
      9
           They didn't have anything attaching him to the crime other
00:23
     10
           than --
                    THE COURT: -- his family.
     11
     12
                    MS. STEINBACK: Correct. Well, they identified
     13
           three suspects and they didn't have any physical evidence
     14
           tying Richard --
     15
                    THE COURT: They don't have to have physical
00:23
     16
          evidence. I'm sorry. This is not -- what is that? CSI
     17
          Miami? Prosecutors live in fear today that the jury is
     18
          going to want to know why they didn't do spectrographic
     19
           testing of shoe soles that they found in the dump or
00:24
     20
           something because they're always doing that.
     21
                         But what we have is an investigation by the
           San Jacinto County Sheriff assisted by the Rangers,
     22
     23
           apparently.
     24
                    MR. PRICE: It's the other way around, Judge.
     25
           the Rangers assisted by the San Jacinto County Sheriff.
00:24
```

	1	THE COURT: Why were the Rangers involved?
	2	MR. PRICE: They were called in on the day the body
	3	was discovered. They were there that day.
	4	THE COURT: Who called them?
00:24	5	MR. PRICE: Sheriff Rogers. Yes. That's right.
	6	THE COURT: Somebody has got to call them.
	7	MR. PRICE: I am just trying to remember if it
	8	was I believe it was Sheriff Rogers.
	9	THE COURT: All right. In the process of that
00:25	10	investigation they used Pikett's dog business. Half the
	11	time it identified Winfrey. Half the time it didn't.
	12	Right?
	13	MS. STEINBACK: No. It consistently identified
	14	Winfrey even when the scent was not
00:25	15	THE COURT: No. That's a fifty-fifty. We know
	16	that one of the things was a misidentification.
	17	MS. STEINBACK: Oh. Sure.
	18	THE COURT: In one it identified him. The other it
	19	identified him as somebody entirely different.
00:25	20	MS. STEINBACK: Correct.
	21	THE COURT: So, I call that not an identification.
	22	MS. STEINBACK: Okay.
	23	THE COURT: When the victim says it was a 6'3"
	24	black man and they identify him in the lineup, there's
00:25	25	something wrong, you know.

	1	MS. STEINBACK: Right.
	2	THE COURT: So, that's what we have.
	3	And you say they had no other evidence, but we
	4	can get, presumably, what was presented to the grand jury.
00:26	5	Right?
	6	MR. PRICE: Well, I don't think that I'm in the
	7	position I don't think that I have the power to compel
	8	that, Judge.
	9	THE COURT: Well, I do. That's not a problem. I'm
00:26	10	not worried about any of these people.
	11	So, the information that produced the
	12	indictment is documented. Isn't that what we have to go on?
	13	Because it doesn't matter how many stupid things they did if
	14	they couldn't use them. Let's assume that Duff and Huff got
00:26	15	out an Ouija board. I don't think that's very reliable, but
	16	if they don't show the Ouija board evidence to the grand
	17	jury it cannot have been a factor in the indictment. Right?
	18	MS. STEINBACK: Sure.
	19	THE COURT: So, I think we need to know is what the
00:27	20	district attorney presented to the grand jury and work back
	21	from there to see whether any of that was something that was
	22	done illegally. Doesn't that make sense?
	23	MS. STEINBACK: Sure.
	24	THE COURT: You just want to go home.
00:27	25	MR. MORSE: I'm enjoying it.

1 THE COURT: All right. Do you want to talk to 2 the -- Doesn't the district clerk have the Ranger records? 3 MR. PRICE: Beg your pardon, Judge? 4 THE COURT: The district clerk has --MR. PRICE: I assume that, but I have not talked 00:27 5 6 with her to know what in fact they have. What she has told 7 me is that anything that she received pursuant to the order 8 of expunction she's got locked in a cabinet where nobody can 9 get to it. 00:28 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, I think, then, I need 11 to order a subpoena to the district clerk for those things 12 gathered in response to the expunction order in the original 13 case materials. 14 Where is all the records for the other two 15 Winfreys? 00:28 16 MR. PRICE: I know that -- I say that. I believe 17 that Mr. Petix has a big rolling cart that has the physical 18 evidence that was used in the trial -- well, the physical 19 evidence, the transcripts and other matters that were used 00:29 20 in the trial of Senior and Megan. I have -- The only 21 knowledge that I have of the contents is what I can glean 22 from the court of appeals opinion affirming the conviction 23 of Richard Sr., and it talks in some great detail about the 24 number of witnesses that were interviewed, the number of 25 suspects and -- There was a lot of work done, Judge, to 00:29

```
1
          pursue other suspects as well.
      2
                    THE COURT: All right. Well, do you want to look
      3
          at all that stuff?
                   MS. STEINBACK: You know, part of our allegations
      4
           for the reckless investigation claim is that there wasn't
00:29
      5
      6
          work done to pursue other suspects; and, so, that will be a
      7
          part of our discovery, Judge.
      8
                    THE COURT: Well, there was because they got
      9
           convictions on two other people. That they didn't do
00:30
     10
          anything other than pick on Little Richard is clearly wrong.
     11
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure. But, I mean, aside from the
     12
          Winfrey family, that's correct.
     13
                    THE COURT: Why would they have to go bug the
     14
           Fergusons up the road from the Winfreys if they think the
     15
          Winfreys did it?
00:30
     16
                   MS. STEINBACK: Because there were other suspects
     17
           who we allege had motives to commit the crime far more than
     18
           the evidence that was presented against the Winfreys.
     19
                    THE COURT: Except the evidence was sufficient
00:30
     20
          against two of them. So, how can that be vexatious
     21
          prosecution and they get convictions and an acquittal
     22
           showing that jurors in San Jacinto County are not hand
     23
          maidens to the prosecution?
     24
                   MS. STEINBACK: And this may be a little bit beyond
     25
          my expertise and perhaps you're more familiar with this,
00:30
```

	1	Mr. Morse, because you are more involved in the cases. But
	2	it's my understanding that the other two Winfreys are also
	3	claiming innocence and
	4	THE COURT: Ma'am, Texas has got 165,000 people all
00:31	5	of whom are claiming innocence.
	6	MS. STEINBACK: And as we see on the front pages of
	7	the Houston Chronicle some of them are innocent.
	8	THE COURT: Some of them might be. But a claim of
	9	innocence is not sufficient to do anything. They claim they
00:31	10	were innocent all the way through the trial. The jury came
	11	to a different conclusion. At least on one of them the
	12	courts of appeals has not that I have any stake in the
	13	courts of appeal. Pikett's dog sniffing is probably more
	14	reliable than courts of appeals. But that's where it goes.
00:31	15	So, whether they're right or wrong I don't know, but it
	16	cannot have been wrong to focus on the Winfrey family with
	17	the information that we have now. You just can't. Is there
	18	another Winfrey out there?
	19	MR. PRICE: Not that I know of.
00:32	20	MS. STEINBACK: There is one, the mother, and
	21	another sister who is now deceased, but
	22	THE COURT: So, Megan had a sister at the time?
	23	MS. STEINBACK: That's correct.
	24	THE COURT: Did they investigate the mother and the
00:32	25	other sister?

	1	MS. STEINBACK: I believe the mother and not the
	2	sister.
	3	THE COURT: How old is the sister?
	4	MS. STEINBACK: I'm not entirely sure. I think she
00:32	5	was in her early 20s.
	6	THE COURT: She might not have been there.
	7	MS. STEINBACK: She was The whole family lived
	8	together is my understanding.
	9	THE COURT: What else do you think they did wrong?
00:33	10	MS. STEINBACK: Well, we allege that they used
	11	testimony that they knew was false, but they coerced a
	12	jailhouse snitch into implicating Richard Winfrey, Jr.
	13	THE COURT: Do you really think that all nine or
	14	ten of these people you have named got together and beat on
00:33	15	the courthouse snitch?
	16	MS. STEINBACK: I don't know which of them did,
	17	Your Honor.
	18	THE COURT: You don't know that anybody did.
	19	MS. STEINBACK: Well, according to testimony he
00:33	20	provided and letters that he wrote to Richard Winfrey, Jr.'s
	21	mother he alleged that they did and he recanted.
	22	THE COURT: And what was he in jail for?
	23	MS. STEINBACK: I can't tell you that right now,
	24	Your Honor, but I can
00:33	25	THE COURT: Do you have his criminal history?

1 MS. STEINBACK: I believe we do. I just don't know 2 it off the top of my head. 3 THE COURT: Get it to me. 4 MR. PRICE: Well, Judge, there's a problem there and I'll be glad to do whatever you tell me to do. 00:34 5 6 problem that I have with the allegation -- and, again, I am 7 limited to the documentation that's available to me and that 8 documentation -- in fact, there are two informants whose 9 names surface in connection with the investigation of 00:34 10 Mr. Burr's murder. One was Richard, Jr. -- I mean, Richard 11 Sr., was in jail for another offense and then later got out 12 of jail before the murder of Mr. Burr. 13 After the murder -- and, again, I am doing my 14 best -- I believe that what I am telling you is correct, 15 Judge, but -- After he got out of jail it's my understanding 00:34 16 that someone who had been in a cell with Senior during his 17 pre-offense incarceration had -- Senior had said something 18 to the effect that he had killed Murray Burr and that his 19 children had -- There was testimony that from time to time 00:35 20 the children would go visit Murray Burr at his home before 21 the offense. And this jailhouse informant stated that while 22 he had been in jail with Richard, Sr., Richard, Sr., said 23 that he had killed Murray Burr and his children had opened 24 the door for him to get in. I believe that's what it says. 25 I should have brought -- I have got the redacted police 00:35

	1	report, but a statement was given. That person called from
	2	the jail and he said, "I have something that you need to
	3	know about." Nobody went to him to find it. He made a
	4	voluntary
00:36	5	THE COURT: Okay.
00.00	6	MR. PRICE: Then after that there is
	7	THE COURT: So, his roommate volunteered it?
	8	MR. PRICE: Yes. And then there was another
	9	
		informant that came forward later on that I believe
00:36	10	testified that Junior had told him something about Junior's
	11	own involvement. I believe that that statement may have not
	12	been allowed into evidence at the trial.
	13	Am I getting this right, Randy?
	14	Or, Shanna, do you know that?
00:36	15	I believe that that's what the consolidated
	16	peace report shows or maybe someone told me that, that
	17	that's one of the reasons that Winfrey was acquitted, was
	18	because a statement that was allegedly made by Junior to
	19	someone else was not allowed in evidence at the trial.
00:37	20	THE COURT: He was acquitted because the evidence
	21	didn't convince the jury. If the judge didn't let it in it
	22	probably shouldn't have come in. Now, I don't know who this
	23	other person was.
	24	Why do you think that the information was
00:37	25	coerced?

```
1
                   MS. STEINBACK: Well, one, because our client
      2
           didn't do the murder for which he was charged.
      3
                    THE COURT: Well, that doesn't mean anybody beat on
      4
           the courthouse liar.
00:37
      5
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure. And, second, because the
      6
           individual who had given the testimony that he later
      7
           recanted said it had been coerced. And I believe, though
      8
           I'm not sure, so I will go back and look for you -- I
      9
          believe he was involved -- I don't know the extent of his
00:37
     10
          criminal history or what he was in jail for at the time, but
     11
           I believe it has something to do with a custody dispute.
     12
           So, again, I will look into that for you.
     13
                    MR. PRICE: This is all complete news to me, Judge.
     14
                    THE COURT: Do you say the snitch wrote the mother?
     15
                   MS. STEINBACK: I believe that's true.
00:38
     16
                   THE COURT: Do you have that letter?
                   MS. STEINBACK:
     17
                                   I'm not sure.
     18
                    THE COURT: Get it, I mean, if it exists -- since
     19
           she's got to produce everything. Everybody in the family
00:38
     20
          has to produce everything they have that has anything to do
     21
           with the three cases, because I don't want the mother
     22
           deciding, 'Well, this has to do with my husband's case and
     23
           not my son's case.'
     24
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure.
     25
                   THE COURT: So, anything, especially about this
00:38
```

```
guy. I don't know that y'all are talking about the same
      1
      2
          person.
      3
                   MR. PRICE: Nor do I, Judge.
      4
                   MS. STEINBACK: We may not be, Judge.
                   THE COURT: Yeah. So, can you get his criminal
00:38
      5
      6
          history or do I need to order --
      7
                   MR. PRICE: If she will tell me -- Well, I don't
      8
          know whether she will tell me --
      9
                   THE COURT: No. Your guy, the one you just
00:38
     10
          described.
     11
                   MR. PRICE: Well, I don't know his name. The
     12
          second quy?
     13
                   THE COURT: Well, I know, but you can look it up.
     14
                   MR. PRICE: If it's still in the record. I think I
     15
          can, Judge.
00:39
     16
                   THE COURT: It has to be in the record.
     17
                   MR. PRICE: The record is sealed.
     18
                   THE COURT: Well, it's not going to be sealed for
     19
          long.
00:39
     20
                   MR. PRICE: Right.
     21
                   THE COURT: They didn't discuss this business --
     22
          The first guy you mentioned ratted out the father.
     23
                   MR. PRICE: That's right. And I can identify him.
     24
                   THE COURT: Get his criminal history, who he is,
     25
          his resume, whatever you have.
00:39
```

	1	And then for the second guy do what you can to
	2	find out who that was. I mean, that he snitched should be
	3	in his criminal records, not in Winfrey's. It should be in
	4	both. The guy who implicated the son It should be both
00:39	5	in the son's file and in the other guy's because he was a
	6	defendant in something. So, wouldn't you put it in both
	7	cases' investigatory file?
	8	MR. PRICE: I will find out what I can about the
	9	second guy, Judge.
00:40	10	THE COURT: The first one, too.
	11	MR. PRICE: Right.
	12	THE COURT: And then we need if there is a
	13	document, they need it immediately and they'll find out
	14	whatever they can about that guy. Because there may be a
00:40	15	third snitch. Jailhouses are full of snitches.
	16	And the same theory against Fort Bend and the
	17	Fort Bend Sheriff, that they shouldn't let Pikett do what he
	18	does?
	19	MS. STEINBACK: Correct, Your Honor.
00:41	20	And just so you know the procedural posture of
	21	the case, both Fort Bend County and the Fort Bend County
	22	defendants and the San Jacinto County and their defendants
	23	have answered the complaint. The Texas Rangers have filed a
	24	motion to dismiss which is fairly similar to the one that
00:41	25	you have already ruled on and rejected in the other dog

```
sniff case that we have, Curtis. It's --
      1
      2
                    THE COURT: There were no Rangers in that one.
      3
                   MS. STEINBACK: That's correct. But it's talking
      4
          about the pleading standard for qualified immunity. I think
          it's actually slightly different. And we can discuss it
00:41
      5
      6
          here if you'd like or we can submit --
      7
                    THE COURT: Is it any good?
      8
                   MS. MOLINARE: I think it's pretty good.
      9
          know that it's my best.
00:42
     10
                    THE COURT: The intentional infliction of emotional
     11
          distress is subsumed in malicious prosecution. That's one
     12
          of the damages in malicious prosecution. There's no
     13
          independent claim.
     14
                         And the abuse of process and malicious
     15
          prosecution is essentially the same. The conspiracy was to
00:43
     16
          do those things; so, basically, we have got a malicious
     17
          prosecution case.
     18
                         Is this an F7 on your computer (indicating)?
     19
                   MS. MOLINARE: No.
00:43
     20
                   MR. PRICE: Well, Judge, since you mentioned
     21
           "seven", although we haven't filed a motion to dismiss and
     22
          have not formally filed a motion for a Rule 7 reply -- you
     23
          know, I won't speak for Randy, but I am in the same boat, is
     24
          that allegedly all defendants did everything and --
00:43
     25
                    THE COURT: That's a problem.
```

	1	The claim under 1983 is essentially malicious
	2	prosecution. It is a violation of due process to paying
	3	people on faked evidence. I think that's clearly
	4	established.
00:44	5	Don't you?
	6	MS. MOLINARE: That malicious prosecution exists as
	7	a claim?
	8	THE COURT: That under the Constitution it's a
	9	violation of due process to fake evidence and hang somebody.
00:44	10	MS. MOLINARE: Yes. I would agree.
	11	THE COURT: I think it's amazing the Supreme Court
	12	hasn't ruled that police officers only are responsible for
	13	clearly established constitutional principles, but everybody
	14	else is responsible for all the rules, clearly established
00:45	15	or not.
	16	So, I think we have a tort, malicious
	17	prosecution and the Constitution, government irregularity
	18	claim.
	19	The trouble is I don't know what the Rangers
00:45	20	did exactly, because it makes a big difference on whether
	21	they just hired a goofball or they said, 'We can't find
	22	anything; so, let's hire this lying scum bag.' Those are
	23	different concepts.
	24	MS. STEINBACK: Sure.
00:45	25	THE COURT: And I don't think it's clear from the

complaint that the Rangers -- what they did that would 1 2 amount to a willful, intentional transition into arbitrary 3 government. 4 MS. STEINBACK: Like I say, just to quickly respond, Your Honor, that it's really no different than the 00:46 5 6 other case we have before you. I mean, we know that this 7 was a case that -- or this investigation was -- whether it 8 was led by the Rangers and had San Jacinto County Sheriff's 9 Office employees working alongside them or vice versa, we 00:46 10 allege that they knew that the lineup was a fraud and that 11 they --THE COURT: I understand your position about Pikett 12 13 and -- The fact that it turns out to be bad is not the same 14 thing as violating the Constitution. And, of course, you're 15 not supplying me the data on all the times Pikett has found 00:46 16 the actual culprit. And if you took lie detectors, my guess 17 is you'd have a 35, 40 percent failure rate even properly 18 administered, which most of them aren't. It just isn't 19 exact. 00:47 20 It's got witnesses and all the rest of the 21 So, there's got to be more than you disagree with stuff. 22 the investigative technique. I'm not going to assume that 23 it is so deficient that its mere use is equivalent to a 24 railroading. It might be. 25 MS. STEINBACK: Sure. And I think I understand 00:47

1 what you --2 THE COURT: And then you have got to get who hired 3 Pikett, who did supervise him. The fact that the Rangers 4 were in charge in the investigation may not mean they were in charge with the administration of them. Many times he 00:48 5 6 said, 'Go get all the information and do all this stuff and 7 let us know. We're going to go do something else.' You 8 know there are not many of them, but there are a lot of 9 deputy sheriffs. 00:48 10 And I need to know.... What's his name? 11 is it your understanding that Lenard Johnson was the actual 12 person who did the work there at San Jacinto County? 13 MS. STEINBACK: I believe that Lenard Johnson and 14 Lacy Rogers were the two individuals that we know thus far, 15 but there may be more, who were involved in the 00:49 16 investigation. 17 THE COURT: If there were others why aren't they on 18 there? 19 MS. STEINBACK: We put "as yet unknown". 00:49 20 THE COURT: I know and that doesn't help me. 21 Shortly after Bevins sued four unknown DEA agents, they knew 22 who they were. The DEA just wouldn't tell them in advance. 23 But your client went through the whole investigation. 24 knows who he talked to. And I am going to make them give 25 you the records. I am just worried about what Lenard 00:49

```
1
          Johnson did. You named him, so you must know what he did.
      2
          What did he do?
      3
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure. Well, you know, just to be
      4
          very candid and because we haven't had full discovery yet, I
00:49
      5
          don't know how many --
      6
                    THE COURT: Why did you name him?
      7
                   MS. STEINBACK: Because he and Lacy Rogers were
      8
          both involved. We know that they spoke with Richard
      9
          Winfrey, Jr., and that they were involved in the
00:50
     10
          investigation.
     11
                    THE COURT: But that doesn't make them responsible
     12
          for violating his rights. The newspaper reporters talked to
     13
          Mr. Winfrey, didn't they? Are they part of the conspiracy?
     14
                   MS. STEINBACK: But they weren't involved in the
     15
          investigation that resulted in his arrest.
00:50
     16
                    THE COURT: But "involved" doesn't do it, ma'am.
     17
          It's got to be they did something wrong.
     18
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure. And I will just point
     19
          here --
00:50
     20
                    THE COURT: You know, if Winfrey jaywalked there
     21
          would probably be seven officers show up for the arrest
     22
          because, you know, the morning donuts have all been sold out
     23
          and there's nothing else going on in town.
     24
                   MR. PRICE: Judge, could I just also add that she
     25
          says that they haven't done discovery, but there was a
00:50
```

```
criminal proceeding. He had a lawyer. They discovered --
      1
      2
                   THE COURT: Criminal file.
      3
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure. I mean, that's how we have
      4
          these names and, so --
      5
00:51
                   THE COURT: I know. But those are names, not acts.
      6
                   MS. STEINBACK: Right. And, Your Honor, I would
      7
          just point you to -- just to be clear, because I don't want
      8
          anybody to be mistaken. This isn't a case where we're
      9
          saying all these people are culpable for hiring this person
00:51
     10
          who conducted this lineup. I mean, you know, in Plaintiff's
     11
          complaint, paragraph 4, "Following the dog scent lineup," it
     12
          says, "in an attempt to ensure that Plaintiff was convicted
     13
          despite his innocence, Defendants fabricated evidence...to
     14
          corroborate the sham findings of the dog scent lineups."
     15
                   MR. PRICE: And that's a conclusory pleading.
00:51
     16
                   THE COURT: I'd like to pretend that I'm in charge
     17
          here. I need my Boy Scouts back.
     18
                   MS. STEINBACK: We heard about that.
     19
                   THE COURT: All right. The problem with it --
00:52
     20
          Anyway, that is a generalization. It's like saying they
     21
          violated his due process. What evidence did they fabricate?
                   MS. STEINBACK: They coerced testimony --
     22
                    THE COURT: No. Fabricate evidence. I understand
     23
     24
          the thing about the snitch, but what did they fabricate?
     25
                   MS. STEINBACK: Well, that is presenting fabricated
00:52
```

```
1
          evidence.
      2
                    THE COURT: I understand, but I know about that.
      3
          So, is that what this is about?
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure. This is about using --
      4
                    THE COURT: Okay. Now, earlier you told me you
00:52
      5
      6
          didn't know who talked the weasel into being a bigger
      7
          weasel, who turned out to be an unreliable weasel. You
      8
          know, Huey long, when he was governor, said, "An honest
      9
          politician in Louisiana is one who when bought stays
00:52
     10
          bought."
     11
                         So, he didn't stay coerced. Can you explain
     12
          that?
                   MS. STEINBACK: Well, he did recant.
     13
     14
                    THE COURT: No. If he was under fear of bodily
     15
          injury or something why did that fear go away?
00:53
     16
                   MS. STEINBACK: I don't know, Your Honor.
     17
                    THE COURT: So, he's told two stories and you want
     18
          me to believe one and not the other. Why should we believe
     19
          your choice instead of his choice? That's the trouble with
     20
          liars. And he can be lying both times. He might not have
00:53
     21
          known what he said, but he might have known that Winfrey did
     22
          it. I don't know.
     23
                         So, who coerced him? You don't know. All we
     24
          have is an unsworn statement by a quest of San Jacinto
     25
          County.
00:53
```

	1	MS. STEINBACK: We may have more, Your Honor. I
	2	don't know what the police records reflect, so I
	3	MR. PRICE: He wasn't even a guest of San Jacinto
	4	County, Judge. I believe that the first one was in
00:54	5	Montgomery County and I think the other one may have been at
	6	TDC.
	7	THE COURT: All right. I mean, that's the trouble
	8	with the motion to dismiss business, is she has a legitimate
	9	problem because she's dealing with governments that have
00:54	10	schizophrenic authority; and, so, San Jacinto County is not
	11	responsible for what happens at Montgomery County Jail
	12	unless they go down there and beat it out of him. If it
	13	happened in the state prison they'd have no clue.
	14	So, your client has to get you everything he
00:55	15	knows about every conversation with that guy and get to all
	16	of them and then you find out who it is, because it may be
	17	he never was in prison.
	18	But, as we sit here today, you do not know
	19	that the Rangers coerced anybody to do anything?
00:55	20	MS. STEINBACK: I apologize, Judge. Off the top of
	21	my head, I just don't remember who spoke with the person
	22	that we are alleging was coerced into giving false testimony
	23	against Richard Winfrey, Jr.
	24	THE COURT: Who says he was "talked to by".
00:55	25	MS. STEINBACK: Correct. We are alleging.

```
1
                    THE COURT: I want to know -- Your client has been
      2
           in contact with this guy and he knows who he says he talked
      3
           to. Right?
      4
                    MS. STEINBACK: Sure.
00:56
      5
                    THE COURT: And I don't believe he says that all
      6
          these guys came to him.
      7
                    MS. STEINBACK: And, Judge, you know, just to
      8
           remind you, the procedural posture of this, the Defendants
      9
           are fully within their capability to admit or deny these
00:56
     10
          allegations and, in fact, these two sets of defendants have.
     11
           So, to the extent that I can't tell you right now whether it
     12
           was Defendants Huff and Duff or whether it was Defendants
     13
           Johnson and Rogers, presumably those defendants, since it
     14
           didn't happen so long ago, are able to recall whether or not
     15
          they --
00:56
     16
                    THE COURT: I understand, but Winfrey is bringing
     17
           the claim.
     18
                    MS. STEINBACK: Right.
     19
                    THE COURT: Their job is to respond to his
     20
          assertions, not to make his case for him. They don't work
00:57
     21
           for Winfrey.
     22
                    MS. STEINBACK: Sure. Oh, absolutely. And I'm not
     23
           asking them -- Yeah, I don't presume any of them will admit
     24
          to it, but they can then deny and then we continue on from
     25
           there.
00:57
```

```
THE COURT: Every serious police brutality case I
      1
      2
          have had has been cracked because of an honest policeman who
      3
           wouldn't follow the story.
                    MS. STEINBACK: We need more like that in Chicago,
      4
00:57
      5
          Your Honor.
      6
                    THE COURT: There are many levels of integrity that
      7
           could be added to Chicago.
      8
                         All right. But let's get -- I mean, since
      9
          we're dealing from the secret records -- so are you -- I
00:57
     10
          don't want to rule on the motions yet. I want us to figure
          out -- You know, it's her job to see if there's a case from
     11
     12
           the facts. She's got a client who says that he says he was
     13
           coerced and she already knows about Pikett, and she don't
     14
           like him. So, we're going to -- Pikett is easier.
     15
                         So, let's get -- Do you have any idea on
00:58
     16
          Winfrey's trial and grand jury -- how bulky it is?
     17
                   MR. PRICE: I know that -- I saw the pushcart that
          had some of the trial evidence for Senior's trial and it was
     18
     19
           like one of these two-level deals and it's -- you know, it
     20
          was a big cart. There was a lot of documents on it. They
00:58
     21
           were in big cardboard boxes, not banker boxes. There were
     22
           about four of those.
     23
                         Judge, can I ask a question?
     24
                    THE COURT: Okay. I'm just -- Could you just
          gather all at your office? Because it's too much,
     25
00:59
```

```
1
          reasonably.
      2
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure.
      3
                   MR. PRICE: It's not in the County's possession,
      4
          Judge. It's in the DA's possession. Now, we could
          probably --
00:59
      5
      6
                    THE COURT: I am going to order him to produce it.
      7
                   MR. PRICE: Can we have it produced in his office?
      8
                    THE COURT: Nobody wants to go up there.
      9
                   MR. PRICE: He won't even let me take his original
00:59
     10
          open records responses to things, Judge. He says, "If you
     11
          want to look at it you come up here." Now, I know I don't
     12
          have the authority that you have down here.
     13
                    THE COURT: Maybe they would want to come down here
          and talk to me. I don't know.
     14
     15
                   MR. PRICE: I think he's new in this office and he
00:59
     16
          is trying to be very conscientious.
     17
                    THE COURT: Conscientious and recalcitrant are not
     18
          the same thing.
     19
                   MR. PRICE: That's true.
01:00
     20
                   THE COURT: Prosecution is the public record.
     21
                         The daughter and the father -- there is no
     22
          reason for him not to produce that.
     23
                   MR. PRICE: Well, I'm not a criminal defense
     24
          lawyer, Judge. Winfrey's case -- Senior's case is pending
     25
          before the court of criminal appeals; so, it's an active and
01:00
```

```
ongoing matter. So, all that stuff that was in the boxes
      1
      2
           is --
      3
                    THE COURT: Active and ongoing.
      4
                    MR. PRICE: Active and ongoing lawsuit, not
01:00
      5
           investigation.
      6
                    THE COURT: The record that is before the court of
      7
          criminal appeals has already been done and they don't care.
      8
          But if he doesn't want to produce the original so that it
      9
           can be in your office, in your custody and anybody can go
01:00
     10
          look at it and designate what they want copied, then I will
     11
           just order him to copy it for everybody, if he thinks he
           needs to maintain custody of all those boxes and things.
     12
                                                                      Ι
     13
          don't think Steinback wants to see anybody's shoes or
     14
           anything.
     15
                    MR. PRICE: If it's stuff that's in an evidence bag
01:01
     16
          that it's sealed and if it's something that the court of
     17
           criminal appeals should kick it back and it has to be
     18
           retried --
     19
                    THE COURT: Physical evidence.
01:01
     20
                    MS. STEINBACK: If it could just be made available
     21
          to us we could come and view it.
     22
                    THE COURT: But that stuff, they can just take
     23
          pictures of it.
     24
                    MS. STEINBACK:
                                    Sure.
                                           Yeah.
                                                  Exactly.
     25
                    THE COURT: Muddy shoes and shell casings and stuff
01:01
```

```
like that, just take pictures of that, because it will be
      1
      2
          discussed in some papers, and then copy the papers.
      3
                   MR. PRICE: Okay. So, you want him --
      4
                   THE COURT: No. I want her to send the
          photographs, whatever it is they're talking about, because
01:01
      5
      6
          it just makes it more interesting.
      7
                   MR. PRICE: Oh. So, they can photograph --
      8
                   THE COURT: -- anything in a bag.
      9
                   MR. PRICE: -- in a bag and leave the original
01:01
     10
          stuff there and then just have the photos here? Okay.
     11
                   THE COURT: And then he needs to copy all the
     12
          documents that we need. The district clerk -- I don't know
     13
          what she wants to do about that, but.... Were there
     14
          physical exhibits at Winfrey's trial?
     15
                   MR. PRICE: Oh, yeah. Well, I know there were at
01:02
     16
          Senior's trial.
     17
                   THE COURT: No. In Junior's. I mean, why don't
          they go by "Lynn"? That's a perfectly good name. That's
     18
     19
          just crazy.
01:02
     20
                   MR. PRICE: I do not know -- I have no idea what
     21
          the physical evidence is for Junior's trial.
     22
                   THE COURT: Well, find out. She'll talk to you,
     23
          won't she?
     24
                   MR. PRICE: Oh, yeah. Absolutely.
    25
                   THE COURT: A lot of people don't want to talk to
01:02
```

1 you. 2 MR. PRICE: My little brother for sure. 3 THE COURT: Little brothers are great people. 4 Talk to her and see if there are bags of stuff and then we'll just take pictures of them and then, 01:02 5 6 otherwise, we'll get at least three copies and whatever the 7 paper is. But, most of all, I want young Winfrey's grand 8 jury. That seems to me to be the key of a wrongful 9 prosecution. 01:03 10 MR. PRICE: Judge, can I just ask a question about 11 that? Because this is obviously going to be -- is going to 12 involve some costs. And I understand Plaintiff's need to 13 have some information before responding to a motion to 14 dismiss. 15 I quess, from my client's perspective and 01:03 16 especially in cases against the individual peace officers, I 17 mean, you know, there's no pattern, practice or custom here 18 and there is none alleged. But with regard to the 19 "individual capacity" claims I believe the evidence is that 01:03 20 none of my clients participated in the collection of dog 21 scent evidence --22 THE COURT: And I am going to just assume that. 23 She just needs to know that. It's impossible to tell when 24 people say, 'We have ongoing investigations and it's been 25 sealed and we can't do this.' Somebody has got -- She's 01:04

```
talked to the people who will talk to her. And, again, not
      1
      2
          many people want to talk to her either. And, so, having
      3
          done that, I am going to let her have a little
      4
          information --
                   MR. PRICE: Well, what I was going to suggest,
01:04
      5
      6
          Judge, is I have got -- as I told you, the murder
      7
          investigation was a consolidated report and, so, I have got
          a stack of documents about this thick that is the
      8
      9
          investigative report. I assume that she already has it --
01:04
     10
                   THE COURT: Do you have it?
     11
                   MR. PRICE: -- because he was tried. He had a
     12
          lawyer.
                   So, I don't know why this is a mystery, why she's
     13
          claiming that she doesn't have this information when he
     14
          tried and she was entitled to discovery.
     15
                   THE COURT: Do you?
01:04
     16
                   MS. STEINBACK: Well, first, we're not their
          criminal defense attorney.
     17
     18
                   THE COURT: I know, but it's the same person.
     19
                   MS. STEINBACK: Oh. Sure. Sure.
01:05
     20
          Absolutely.
     21
                    THE COURT: Winfrey has to -- He was there.
     22
          law requires him to be there. He had a lawyer. He has a
     23
          lawyer now. If the lawyers don't talk it's Winfrey's
     24
          problem.
     25
                   MS. STEINBACK: Sure. No. But we do have
01:05
```

```
1
          documents.
      2
                   MR. PRICE: And what I will say, Judge, is that
      3
           there are supplemental reports in the consolidated police
      4
           report that say who did what. This isn't a mystery. I'll
          be glad to give it to her again, but can I do that before we
01:05
      5
      6
          do all this other stuff about --
      7
                    THE COURT: Yes. All right. Let's start -- And
      8
          give it to the State because it doesn't --
      9
                   MR. PRICE: I have already got it scanned, Judge.
01:05
     10
           I can send it to them on a CD.
     11
                    THE COURT: But you retain the T-shirt and poster
     12
           rights to your CD.
     13
                         Get that to them. You've got ten days to tell
     14
          me whether you still need -- I mean, I don't know that that
     15
          will help because we don't know how much of that was
01:06
     16
          presented to the grand jury.
     17
                   MR. PRICE: But it will show, Judge, lack of
     18
          personal involvement.
     19
                    THE COURT: I understand what you think it shows.
     20
           I am going to let them read it and hope that I don't have
01:06
     21
           to.
     22
                         Get it to everybody, and then after 10 days
     23
           they'll let me know whether they think they still need the
     24
          trial records, the grand jury record or anything else they
     25
          can think of.
01:06
```

	1	MR. PRICE: And just to be so that I'm not later
	2	understood to have said something Again, this set of
	3	documents I have is the consolidated report that has all
	4	mention of Richard Winfrey, Jr., marked out in black ink,
01:07	5	and it's my further understanding that if there are
	6	documents in that that pertain specifically to Richard only,
	7	that those are not in there, that they have been removed and
	8	obliterated, according to their understanding. But I
	9	believe that the documents that talk about the conduct of
01:07	10	the dog scent lineup and trail and then the collection of
	11	evidence those are in documents that were pertinent to
	12	both cases. So, you're going to find black marks where her
	13	client's name existed.
	14	MS. STEINBACK: That's fine, Your Honor. We'll
01:07	15	just assume that wherever there is a redaction mark that
	16	says "Richard Winfrey, Jr."
	17	THE COURT: His criminal lawyer should not have a
	18	redacted copy.
	19	MS. STEINBACK: Right.
01:07	20	THE COURT: So, now you can compare.
	21	MS. STEINBACK: Sure. And in terms of the reports
	22	that were obliterated that dealt specifically with Richard
	23	Winfrey, Jr., would it be possible for you to produce the
	24	documentation he made of what those reports were?
01:08	25	THE COURT: But they will be in the ones from his

	1	criminal lawyers.
	2	MS. STEINBACK: Just to make sure that we have a
	3	complete set.
	4	THE COURT: But you have one and then you will have
01:08	5	one and they'll be missing.
	6	MS. STEINBACK: I just want to make sure that what
	7	we believe is a complete set actually is. So, I just want
	8	to make sure that nothing falls through the crack.
	9	THE COURT: Read your set and read his set and
01:08	10	then
	11	MS. STEINBACK: Okay. And, Your Honor, in terms of
	12	the ten days when do you envision that deadline? And I only
	13	ask because I am leaving town on Saturday for ten days.
	14	THE COURT: Where are you going?
01:09	15	(Off-the-record discussion)
	16	MR. PRICE: I will say that I have got that file
	17	scanned, but they haven't got it Bates-numbered yet. So, if
	18	I could get maybe two days to get it Bates-numbered just for
	19	ease of use. Is that acceptable?
01:10	20	THE COURT: Well, when are you going to be back?
	21	MS. STEINBACK: I return on August 9th.
	22	THE COURT: All right. So, get it read and let me
	23	know by August 20th.
	24	MS. STEINBACK: Okay.
01:10	25	THE COURT: And the same thing for anybody else.

```
And the other stuff -- I mean, you may discover in going
      1
      2
          through all this that there are other sources, but the
      3
           attorneys that seem to exist are in the grand jury
      4
           testimony, the trial record and the record of the father and
01:11
      5
          daughter trial.
      6
                    MS. STEINBACK: Does the Texas Department of Public
      7
          Safety have anything different? I don't know if the reports
      8
          of San Jacinto are going to have --
      9
                    THE COURT: Well, they'll have a file, but any
01:11
     10
           reports would have been sent to the DA for prosecution. Did
     11
          the Rangers testify?
     12
                    MS. STEINBACK: I don't know.
     13
                    MS. MOLINARE: I don't know either.
     14
                    MS. STEINBACK: Could we request it --
     15
                    THE COURT: Get their file.
01:11
     16
                    MS. STEINBACK: Okay.
     17
                    THE COURT: Get all that stuff and just hold it
     18
          until we have looked at that report. They need to have some
     19
           facts.
01:12
     20
                         And you all, too. If you think of something
     21
           you need from somebody, including the Plaintiff, then let me
     22
           know on the 20th.
     23
                    MR. PRICE: We'd just like a cleaned-up pleading so
     24
          we can file a 12(b)(6) motion or a Rule 56 motion on stuff
     25
          that's specifically pled enough to do so.
01:12
```

	1	THE COURT: I understand.
	2	MS. STEINBACK: So, is the Texas Rangers' motion
	3	stayed pending this or
	4	THE COURT: Yes.
01:12	5	MS. STEINBACK: how do you want it?
	6	THE COURT: But don't respond. I want to make sure
	7	that you have mastered what facts are reasonably available,
	8	including what's in his copy of his criminal stuff because
	9	that's not sealed.
01:12	10	So, I need names, date and places. I
	11	especially need the stuff about the coerced testimony
	12	because that's not going to be in any of his stuff.
	13	MR. PRICE: And I guess, Judge, since my copy has
	14	been redacted, if I could get a scanned copy of her criminal
01:13	15	trial I'd like that, too.
	16	MS. STEINBACK: Sure.
	17	THE COURT: So we're both looking at the same
	18	comparison.
	19	Production is easy. Getting y'all to actually
01:13	20	read it is the hard part.
	21	All right. Anything else we can usefully do?
	22	You represent Fort Bend?
	23	MR. MORSE: Yes, sir.
	24	THE COURT: Does Pikett still work there?
01:13	25	MR. MORSE: He didn't have much work after the

1 Innocence Project came down on his -- what he does for a 2 living. He was there for probably a good year not doing much and he was eligible for retirement. He was not asked 3 to leave, but he's retired and he is a reserve just so that 01:14 5 he is in good standing with us. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. PRICE: Judge, that raises one thing, one other 8 piece of information that I don't know. She's made these 9 allegations that it was well known in the community that 01:14 10 Mr. Pikett was -- Now, I believe the record is going to show 11 that my folks didn't hire Mr. Pikett or participate in it, 12 but if she's going to try to tar them with knowledge that he 13 was unreliable I would like to see a pleading about what 14 information she claims was well known and when it was 15 published, because I believe that that may have happened 01:14 16 after the --THE COURT: Isn't that in the other case? 17 18 other case didn't you list a whole bunch of witnesses and 19 said that were published? Or am I --01:14 20 MS. STEINBACK: In the other case a senior 21 prosecutor in the Harris County DA's Office had informed the 22 Houston Police Department, which is separate --23 THE COURT: There weren't any Wikipedia blogs or 24 anything about this? Because that's absolutely what I rely 25 01:15 on.

	1	MR. PRICE: I mean, I just didn't know what we were
	2	alleged to have allegedly known and when we were alleged to
	3	have known it.
	4	THE COURT: All right. Let's wait until you have
01:15	5	seen all these records. The "coerce" thing is new; so,
	6	we've got to get some facts.
	7	MS. STEINBACK: Thanks, Judge.
	8	THE COURT: And what is Ms. Winfrey doing for a
	9	living?
01:15	10	MS. STEINBACK: "Ms. Winfrey" being Megan Winfrey
	11	or
	12	THE COURT: No. The mother.
	13	MS. STEINBACK: the mother? I don't know that
	14	she's employed, Your Honor. I'm not sure.
01:15	15	THE COURT: What's Megan doing? Isn't she
	16	MS. STEINBACK: Yeah. Exactly.
	17	THE COURT: So, we'll hear from you all on the
	18	20th. I will look at that and then do something arbitrary
	19	and rule.
01:16	20	MS. STEINBACK: Thank you, Judge.
	21	THE COURT: Thanks.
	22	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
	23	I, BRUCE SLAVIN, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
	24	above-entitled matter, to the best of my ability.
	25	<u>s/Bruce Slavin</u> BRUCE SLAVIN, RPR, CM